

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/691,644	10/24/2003	Min-Goo Kim	45945	7618	
7590 06/11/2008 Peter L., Kendall			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Roylance, Abrams, Berdo & Goodman, L.L.P. Suite 600 1300 19th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036			NGUYEN, STEVE N		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2117		
			MAIL DATE	DET HERMA CORE	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/691,644 KIM ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit STEVE NGUYEN -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. S

- Failu Any	period or regys's specification dover, the instantini seaturely period and apply an interper six (by motifinity from the finality date of this communication, the toruply within the set or extended period for reply with yealther, cause the application to become ABAPONDERS (35 U.S.C.§ 135) suggestions (specification to be used				
Status					
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 April 2008.				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 18-21 is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)🖂	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 November 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority I	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)🔯	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				

Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)⊠ All 1.⊠	wledgment is made of a claim for foreign prior b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.		
2.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/95/06) Paper No(s)Mail Date 2/14/2008.		4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Pater Lepplication  6) Other:  ———————————————————————————————————		

#### DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-21 are currently pending.

#### Election/Restrictions

 Applicant's election without traverse of invention Group I, claims 1-17 in the reply filed on 11/20/2007 is acknowledged. Claims 18-21 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 4/11/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Seidel does not disclose anything about processing a result of the decoding of at least one of the received control message and data and controlling the physical layer according to a result of the processing.

The Examiner asserts that Seidel teaches processing a result of the decoding of at least one of the received control message and data in col. 7, lines 26-28. In particular, the result of decoding the sequence numbers in step 260 is used to decode PDUs in step 270 in Fig. 5. Seidel further teaches controlling the physical layer according to a result

Art Unit: 2112

of the processing in col. 7, lines 35-37, in which the physical layer is controlled to send an ACK according to the result of the decoded PDUs.

The Applicant argues that Fong et al.'s disclosure has nothing to do with a physical layer's HARQ controller for processing a result of the decoding of at least one of the received control message and data and for controlling the physical layer according to a result of the processing; wherein the HARQ controller performs an operation of a MAC layer.

The Applicant fails to point out how the claim language is patentably distinguished from Fong. Applicant's arguments fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.111(b) because they amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references.

In further appears that applicant's arguments are directed against the references individually. One cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

In response to Applicant's arguments that Seidel fails to disclose a physical layer, Seidel explicitly suggests a physical layer for receiving the data in col. 7, lines 62-65.

Art Unit: 2112

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this titlle, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary sikll in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- Claims 1-17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seidel
  et al (US Pat. 6,658,005; hereinafter referred to as Seidel) in view of Fong et al (US Pat.
  6,760,860; hereinafter referred to as Fong).

As per claim 1:

Seidel teaches an apparatus for controlling the operation of the data channel in a mobile communication system that simultaneously a control message over the data control channel and the data over the data channel and supports hybrid automatic repeat request (HARQ) (abstract), the apparatus:

Application/Control Number: 10/691,644
Art Unit: 2112

- a physical layer (col. 7, lines 62-65) for receiving the traffic data and the control message from the data control channel and the date channel separately and decoding the received traffic data and control data (col. 2, lines 26-28);
- processing a result of the decoding of at least one of the received control
  message and data (col. 7, lines 26-28; the result of decoding the sequence
  numbers in step 260 is used to decode PDUs in step 270) and for controlling the
  physical layer according to a result of the processing (col. 7, lines 35-37; an ACK
  must be sent on the physical layer according to the definition as provided above).

Not explicitly disclosed by Seidel is a physical layer's HARQ controller that performs an operation of a MAC layer. However, Fong in an analogous art teaches a physical layer's HARQ controller (col. 5, lines 9-18) that performs ARQ operations (which is an operation of the MAC layer). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the HARQ operations of Seidel to operate in the physical layer. This modification would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, because one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the teachings of Fong would have enabled the cooperative use of layer 1 and layer 2 ARQ to avoid unnecessary retransmission requests (col. 4, lines 51-57).

#### As per claim 2:

Seidel further teaches the apparatus of claim 1, wherein the physical layer's HARQ controller comprises: Application/Control Number: 10/691,644 Page 6

Art Unit: 2112

at least one HARQ state machine for controlling a state transition among a
plurality of states, wherein the plurality of states includes an initial state for
initializing parameters while waiting for the control message to be received over
the control channel (Fig. 5, step 100), a control message decoding state for
decoding the control message, a control state for calculating a result of the
control message decoding (Fig. 5, element 260), a demodulation state for
demodulating the received data channel (Fig. 5, element 270), a data decoding
state for turbo decoding the demodulated data (Seidel teaches that Turbo
encoding can be used in col. 5, lines 24-29; therefore the packet must be
decoded), and a response state for transmitting a response based on a result of
the turbo-decoding (col. 7, lines 32-34); and

a state function section for controlling the state transition of the at least one
HARQ state machine depending on the result of the processing (col. 7, lines 3840; the state machine transitions to state 220 as long as the session is ongoing,
else it transitions to the END state).

### As per claim 3:

Seidel further teaches the apparatus of claim 1, further comprising a data path processor for controlling a processing path of data received over the data channel (processing is done by a processor in col. 5, lines 37-40).

### As per claim 4:

Seidel further teaches the apparatus of claim 1, further comprising an output buffer controller for storing data obtained by demodulating and decoding data received Application/Control Number: 10/691,644
Art Unit: 2112

over the data channel and outputting the stored data to the HARQ controller (a buffer controller must be present for the combining to take place as described in col. 7, lines 29-32).

As per claim 5:

Seidel further teaches the apparatus of claim 2, wherein the at least one HARQ state machine comprises two HARQ state machines (Fig. 5; the state machine outlines the method of Seidel. However, it would have been obvious to equivalently express the state machine separately for the transmitter and the receiver).

As per claim 6:

Seidel further teaches the apparatus of claim 5, wherein an amount of delay for the response comprises 2 slots, wherein each of the two HARQ state machines alternately controls the state transition for 2 slots for the data received over the data channel (Fig. 5; the state machine controls the state transition for steps 260 and 270 which are two slots of data).

As per claim 7:

Seidel and Fong teach the apparatus of claim 6 above. Not explicitly disclosed is wherein decoding the data in the physical layer, the two HARQ state machines controls a transition to a waiting state until previous decoding operation of the decoder has ended.

However, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to transition to a waiting state on a state machine. This modification would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/691,644 Page 8

Art Unit: 2112

invention was made, because one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the packet must first be decoded before further action can be taken.

As per claim 8:

Seidel further teaches state processors for performing control operations of the HARQ state machine (col. 5, lines 37-40).

As per claim 9:

Seidel further teaches the apparatus of claim 1, wherein the physical layer comprises one data channel turbo decoder (Seidel teaches that Turbo encoding can be used in col. 5, lines 24-29).

As per claim 10:

Seidel further teaches the apparatus of claim 1, wherein the data channel is decoded by a turbo decoder (Seidel teaches that Turbo encoding can be used in col. 5, lines 24-29; therefore the packet must be decoded with a decoder).

As per claim 11:

Seidel further teaches the apparatus of claim 1, wherein the physical layer's HARQ controller requests a retransmission of the data from the mobile communication system when the results of the decoding indicate that the decoding was unsuccessful (col. 7, lines 33-34).

As per claim 12:

Seidel further teaches the apparatus of claim 1, wherein the physical layer's HARQ controller transmits the decoded data to an upper layer when results of the decoding indicate that the decoding was successful (col. 7, lines 32-33).

Page 9

Application/Control Number: 10/691,644
Art Unit: 2112

As per claim 13:

Seidel further teaches the apparatus of claim 1, wherein the physical layer comprises a control channel decoder for decoding the received control messages (Fig. 5, element 260), a demodulator for demodulating the received data, and a data decoder for decoding the demodulated data (Fig. 5, element 270).

As per claim 14:

Seidel further teaches the apparatus of claim 13, wherein the physical layer's HARQ controller determines whether to demodulate the data depending on the decoded control message and outputs the decoded control message to the demodulator and the data decoder when the HARQ controller determines to demodulate the data (col. 7, lines 23-28; the data is demodulated and decoded depending on the sequence numbers received on the control channel).

As per claim 15:

Seidel further teaches the apparatus of claim 1, wherein the physical layer's HARQ controller determines whether to demodulate the data depending on the processed result and outputs the result of the decoded control message to the physical layer when the HARQ controller determines to demodulate the data (col. 7, lines 23-28; the data is demodulated and decoded depending on the calculation of the beginning of the frame which is determined by the sequence numbers received on the control channel).

As per claim 16:

Art Unit: 2112

Seidel further teaches the apparatus of claim 1, wherein the physical layer's HARQ controller determine whether to demodulate and decode the received data depending on the result of the decoding of the control message, outputs the decoded control message to the demodulator and the decoder during demodulation, decoding the received data (col. 7, lines 23-28; the data is demodulated and decoded depending on the sequence numbers received on the control channel), and controlling the output of a response signal according to the result of the decoding of the data (col. 7, lines 35-37).

As per claim 17:

Seidel further teaches apparatus of claim 1, wherein the physical layer's HARQ controller delivers the decoded data to the upper layer (col. 7, lines 32-33).

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2112

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to STEVE NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571)272-7214. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F. 10am-6:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jacques Louis-Jacques can be reached on (571) 272-6962. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/JACQUES H LOUIS-JACQUES/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2117 Steve Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 2117